

**Town of Mount Desert
Board of Selectmen Meeting Minutes
Meeting Room, Town Hall
6:30 PM, September 17, 2018**

Present were Chairman John Macauley, Selectmen Rick Mooers, Wendy Littlefield, Martha Dudman, and Matt Hart.

Also in attendance were Town Manager Durlin Lunt, Public Works Director Tony Smith, Town Clerk Claire Woolfolk, and Treasurer Kathy Mahar

Members of the public were also present.

I. Call to order at 6:30 p.m.

Chairman Macauley called the meeting to order at 6:30.

II. Public Hearing(s)

A. General Assistance Ordinance Amendments

Town Manager Lunt noted that Towns must adopt State Guidelines for General Assistance or write their own. Mr. Lunt recommended adopting the State Guidelines. The Town is an agent of the State of Maine for General Assistance. The Town of Mount Desert provides only a small amount of general assistance; approximately \$1,100.00 has been spent on General Assistance this calendar year so far.

Chairman Macauley asked for Public Comment. There was none.

Chairman Macauley closed the Public Hearing.

III. Post Public Hearing

A. General Assistance Ordinance Amendments, action if necessary

MOTION: Selectman Mooers moved, with Selectman Hart seconding, adoption of the State Guidelines for General Assistance Ordinance Amendments, as presented. Motion approved 5-0.

IV. Minutes

A. Approval of minutes from September 4, 2018 meeting

MOTION: Selectman Dudman moved, with Selectman Littlefield seconding, approval of the Minutes of September 4, 2018 as presented. Motion approved 5-0.

B. Approval of minutes from September 13, 2018 meeting

MOTION: Selectman Mooers moved, with Selectman Littlefield seconding, approval of the Minutes of September 13, 2018, as presented. Motion approved 4-0-1 (Mooers in Abstention).

V. Appointments/Recognitions/Resignations

None Presented

VI. **Consent Agenda** *(These items are considered routine, and therefore, may be passed by the Selectmen in one blanket motion. Board members may remove any item for discussion by requesting such action prior to consideration of that portion of the agenda.)*

A. *Thank you letters from Downeast Horizons, Emmaus Homeless Shelter, and LifeFlight Foundation*

B. *Hancock County Commissioners Meeting Minutes August 7 and August 21, 2018*

MOTION: Selectman Mooers moved, with Selectman Littlefield seconding, approval of the Consent Agenda as presented. Motion approved 5-0.

VII. **Selectmen's Reports**

Town Manager Durlin Lunt suggested the items be taken out of order to accommodate Item IX.B - Acadia National Park Advisory Committee Member Jackie Johnston discussion of letter sent to ANP Advisory Commission by George Davis re: Otter Creek.

MOTION: Selectman Dudman moved, with Selectman Hart seconding, to take Item IX.B, New Business, out of order. Motion approved 5-0.

Acadia National Park Advisory Committee Member Jackie Johnston was found not to be in attendance. The Motion was rescinded by general agreement.

VIII. **Unfinished Business**

A. *Consideration of purchase of a new street sweeper, including costs and terms, as discussed and approved at the 9-10-18 special town meeting*

MOTION: Selectman Dudman moved, with Selectman Hart seconding, approval of

- The purchase of a street sweeper from Viking Cives at a purchase price of \$204,500.
 - That the Public Works Director be authorized to execute the necessary documents with Viking Cives to facilitate the purchase at \$204,500.
 - The Town use \$50,000 from the Public Works Reserve Account 4050100-24500 towards the purchase of the sweeper and to buy the \$204,500 purchase price down to \$154,500.
 - The Town award the financing of \$154,500 for the sweeper to the First National Bank at an interest rate of 3.10% for a term of five years.
 - Authorization be given to the Mount Desert Board of Selectmen to execute with the First National Bank all documents required to borrow the \$154,500 to be used towards the purchase of the sweeper.
- as discussed and approved at the 9-10-2018 Special Town Meeting and as presented.

Resident Katrina Carter asked where the insurance money for the damaged sweeper was. Public Works Director Tony Smith explained the insurance money went into the Public Works Equipment Reserve account and would be part of the \$50,000 the Town will use towards the purchase.

Resident Ben Moore inquired whether the new sweeper would have a water tank. Director Smith affirmed the new sweeper had a tank, as had the previous sweeper.

Director Smith felt the balance of the Public Works Equipment Reserve account should be enough to sustain the Town till the next fiscal year, barring any unforeseen damage to equipment.

Motion approved 5-0.

B. Discussion regarding the reconsideration of the Northeast Harbor Main Street Project per passage of Article 5 at the September 10, 2018 Special Town Meeting

Chairman Macauley opened the discussion with the following recap statement:

"I would like to briefly recap where we have been as a result of the May 8 Annual Town Meeting vote, the June 28 Petition, and the September 10 vote at the Special Town Meeting.

So, of course we have the results of the May 8, 2018 Town Meeting note on Article 25 which substantively approved both the Main Street Project and its funding. Article 25 passed by a vote of 98 yes to 71 no. Our Town Attorney has advised us that we must honor the Article 25 approval of the Main Street Project.

We have the Petition on June 28.

Here is what the Town Attorney has advised the Board and has publicly repeated at meetings on August 6 and September 10:

The Board of Selectmen decided under the Charter to allow the Petition to go forward to a Special Town Meeting as Article 4, after adding an Article 3 for a street sweeper, and Article 5 for clarity as to next steps with the Main Street Project. We have received consistent advice from the Town Attorney that the Petition Article 4 has a procedural focus only on the Board of Selectmen and that, under the circumstances of the Petition, the Petition would not and does not supersede the substantive vote of the May 8 Annual Town Meeting.

Instead, it directs the Board to consider and reconsider the 4 procedural considerations in the Petition: (1) routing and approach to utilities (especially the wire utilities); (2) the project time line/job schedule; (3) consider allowing development to happen before placement of utilities, sidewalks and street pavement (after confirming that "town voters have voted in the positive to improve Main Street"); and (4) to consider the options of incentivizing developers.

The Town Attorney has consistently advised us that procedural consideration 3 in the Petition is problematic in its plain language because: (1) improvements to Main Street can be done with "stubs" to allow access to infrastructure once development happens; and (2) the Town has no power to require when development must occur on Main Street, but only to use those utility stubs and the State law moratorium on opening pavement once placed (and also the relief opportunity for development under State law) if development seeks to happen after the Project is done and within 5 years of completion.

The Town Attorney has also advised that Article 25 does provide language of authorization and also provides boundaries. Specifically, Article 25 calls for

1 *"improved sidewalks, grading, drainage, roadway and utilities, including burying*
2 *the overhead utility wires", as well as plantings and lighting. He notes that Article*
3 *25 does not, for example, detail exactly what degree of burying of wire utilities is*
4 *required (although there must be some), or detail all of the specific plantings that*
5 *must be made, and that Article 25 calls for "general conformance" if there is the*
6 *opportunity, and need to finalize the details of implementation before a project is*
7 *built. In that regard, please review the detailed text of Article 25.*

8 *The September 10 vote just happened last Monday, and as a result, 97 votes were*
9 *cast in favor of Article 4 and 45 votes case in the negative on that Petition article*
10 *seeking only to have the BOS do what it can with 4 different procedural*
11 *considerations as part of "consideration and reconsideration" of the Main Street*
12 *Project.*

13 *Article 5 sought to give some clearer procedural guidance to the Board and some*
14 *leeway to address "details of implementation" of the Main Street Project and that*
15 *vote cast was 77 in favor and 23 in the negative.*

16 *The BOS did have an executive session on September 13, wherein the BOS asked*
17 *legal counsel for guidance on its rights and duties in light of the September 10*
18 *vote. As State law allows, the Board asked questions and sought guidance from*
19 *the Town Attorney as to our options. Those in attendance included members of*
20 *the Board of Selectmen, the TM, the PW Director, the Town Attorney and Town*
21 *Clerk. I want to emphasize that, consistent with the Maine Freedom of Access*
22 *Law, no decision or substantive action was taken by the Board.*

23 *The Town Attorney did confirm with the Town Manager today that the Board*
24 *could allow discussion as to the results of Article 4 and 5 and the Board could and*
25 *should take the opportunity to make inquiry of the PW Director and TM about the*
26 *"details of implementation of the Main Street Project." The Board could then post*
27 *the final discussion and a decision about details of implementation of the Main*
28 *Street Project for the October 1 meeting. The Town Attorney does suggest that, if*
29 *members of the public have helpful and constructive ideas and questions about the*
30 *"details of implementation of the Main Street Project", the Board should listen and*
31 *take careful notes to inform their discussion and decision on October 1.*

32 *Finally, the Town Manager and Town Attorney acknowledge receipt of a letter*
33 *from Attorney Stephen Hanscom dated September 14 that briefly makes note of*
34 *the September 10 Town Meeting vote, and encouraged dialogue and a "plan for*
35 *what steps will be taken by the Town" within 10 days and prior to litigation. The*
36 *Town Attorney has said that Attorney Hanscom's letter does not change the*
37 *course detailed above but that he will respond sometime after tonight's meeting*
38 *once he is aware of the time frame the Board needs for its deliberations.*

1 *I am going to open the public discussion, but our legal counsel confirms that we do*
2 *have options, and we should address the votes cast on May 8 and September 10 in*
3 *a deliberative and stepwise fashion: (1) as the Board of Selectmen, we should take*
4 *comments and questions and any suggestions from the public tonight; (2) the*
5 *Board should then first consider whether we need further information tonight, (3)*
6 *on October 1, once we have that information we should decide whether we will*
7 *reconsider; and (4) on October 1, upon deciding whether to reconsider, we should*
8 *seek to address the details of implementation of the project, including any*
9 *modifications, and then finalize our approach prior to signing up a contract to*
10 *advance the Project."*

11
12 Chairman Macauley stated he would like to take comments and suggestions from the public.
13 Additionally, he requested that Public Works Director Smith bring together in a meeting a
14 variety of stakeholders, specifically Emera, the water district, the engineers and other people
15 involved in the project, to ensure that all the groups involved with the process were hearing the
16 same things and had the same understanding of where the project stands. Director Smith
17 warned that Emera is difficult to schedule for meetings. He would try to ensure they were
18 included and participating in the conversations.

19
20 Selectman Dudman felt it was clear that people feel the plan needs to be modified. Selectman
21 Dudman voiced concern over the disparagement directed at the Board from those in attendance
22 at the Town Meeting. She reminded those in attendance that no one on the Board of Selectmen
23 served on the Planning Committee that created the Main Street project. This was not the
24 Board's project. The Board of Selectmen are tasked with carrying out the will of the Mount
25 Desert residents, and they could not legally disregard a vote taken by Townspeople at a Town
26 Meeting. Now that it's become evident that people are not in favor of the project, she hoped
27 the Town could come together to create a plan most residents could live with.

28
29 Resident Chuck Bucklin asked for clarification that the project would indeed start in October.
30 Chairman Macauley assented that the project was expected to start in October. Mr. Bucklin felt
31 that prior to the project starting, the committee should be reconvened. He requested the
32 names of all those on the committee. He hoped that more interested people should be allowed
33 to join the committee as well. Mr. Bucklin asked the Board why a full set of plans were created,
34 and the work sent out to bid before the project had been approved at Town Meeting.

35
36 Director Smith answered that plans were created and priced out because he has learned that
37 having a real pricetag for a project is necessary. Estimates that have been proven to be low
38 have caused issues like work delays and budgeting problems in the past.

39
40 Mr. Bucklin asserted that the price given was not a realistic price. Director Smith reported that
41 he met with the contractor and they reiterated that they were confident their price was
42 accurate.

1
2 Selectman Littlefield echoed Selectman Dudman's statement. She cited occasions when she
3 received verbal abuse while in Town over this issue. She stated that no one on the Board
4 wanted to move forward with anything that could prove harmful to the community. The citizen
5 vote taken in May must be respected, and those presenting concerns must be respected as well.
6 She asked Mr. Bucklin if there were specific issues with the Main St. Project that he felt needed
7 to be addressed, or have the concerns voiced so far covered it all. Mr. Bucklin noted previously
8 stated concerns about burying the power lines and changing the corner at the end of Main St.
9 near Neighborhood House was a bad idea.

10
11 Mr. Bucklin alleged that CES was at the committee meetings directing the committee on what
12 the project would look like. He admitted that he was not personally involved in those meetings.
13 Mr. Bucklin felt the project needed to be completely reviewed. Resident Kelly Brown clarified
14 that CES did not tell the committee what to do, however she felt CES did not provide to the
15 committee all the options that could have been considered.

16
17 Director Smith noted that, aesthetics aside, the project is essentially a sidewalk, storm drain and
18 street renovation project. Options for the electrical wires are being considered.

19
20 Resident Ellen Kappes stated that she would like to join the committee when it re-forms. She
21 felt some new members would be an asset.

22
23 Resident Storey Litchfield requested the project be delayed by a year, so the Town had time to
24 fully reconsider the project. Selectman Hart felt the Town must be respectful of the May Town
25 Meeting vote that approved the project for fall construction, just as they have to be respectful
26 of those at the Special Town Meeting. Mr. Bucklin didn't feel the project could move forward
27 without residents getting together to make some changes. He noted the project must be
28 approved through the Planning Board as well.

29
30 Chairman Macauley hoped the process could move quickly. He felt the Town was bound by the
31 May Town Meeting vote noting construction in the fall. He hoped the committee could identify
32 the top issues, rather than reinventing the entire project.

33
34 Resident John Adams asked if the power lines were still going to be put underground. It was
35 reiterated that a variety of options would be looked at.

36
37 Resident Sam McGee felt that improvement to the Town's infrastructure must be made in order
38 to attract development. Mr. McGee felt this was a project to improve infrastructure, not just for
39 him but for the next generations of residents as well. Part of good economic development is
40 having in place safe drinking water and utilities that are buried so there's a lower risk of power
41 outages, and more pedestrian areas on Main St., so the area is more usable. Mr. McGee did not

1 agree with all aspects of the project but looking at it with an eye to the future, he felt the
2 changes proposed would support future usage.

3
4 It was noted the number of votes from the May Town Meeting and the last Town Meeting were
5 nearly equal.

6
7 A resident alleged the Board of Selectmen were picking and choosing the parts of the project
8 that could move forward, and that the project was being pushed forward without a plan.
9 Chairman Macauley reiterated that the project was tied to the constraints set by the May Town
10 Meeting vote. Within those constraints there is some room to make changes. There are areas
11 that the Town, in good faith, can work on. The issues that residents have problems with can be
12 pulled from the project and solutions to those specific problems can be focused on.

13
14 Mr. Moore asked about the ledge blasting for electrical poles. He worried that with the
15 changes, perhaps the work should be re-bid.

16
17 Selectman Littlefield stated that while the hope was to hold to the October 15 construction
18 startup date, the time to review the other options, and the time to allow the Public Works
19 Director to investigate other options must be given. The points the citizen's petition brought to
20 the Town were the points the Town would focus on. Those ideas needed to be studied and at
21 the October 1 Board of Selectman's meeting a discussion of those issues and ideas would be
22 made. Ms. Littlefield felt if another two months was necessary to make appropriate
23 modifications then the Town should take it. She pointed out the petition did not request the
24 entire project be stopped and reiterated that the Board is very limited in what they can do with
25 the project, as they are legally held to respect the vote taken at the May Town Meeting.

26
27 Ms. Carter asked if the meeting between the various parties involved in the project would
28 happen prior to the October 1 Board of Selectman's meeting. Chairman Macauley hoped the
29 Town could work aggressively toward that goal.

30
31 Ms. Carter asked how the fact that 50% of the easements for the Main Street businesses had yet
32 to be signed would affect the progress. Town Manager Lunt explained that the easements allow
33 the Town to pay for the new electrical connections made to a property owner's building. This
34 easement may never be put into use, depending on what the project looks like. If the easement
35 is not signed, it indicates the property owner prefers to pay for that connection themselves
36 should the connections be necessary. The easements are not necessary to work being started.
37 Director Smith added that building owners will be notified of changes to the project, regardless
38 of whether they've signed an easement or not. The easements deal with the wiring, but a few
39 other items as well, such as portions of wider sidewalks.

40
41 Selectman Mooers inquired about alternatives to burying the power lines, and what alternatives
42 had been considered. He asked for a cost benefit analysis for all alternatives that have been

1 considered, including partial burial, decorative poles, and any other potential modifications that
2 may come from the discussions. This will give the Town facts to look at when making
3 considerations. Director Smith agreed to provide the information by the October 1 Board of
4 Selectmen's meeting.

5
6 Resident John Adams requested that Phase 2 and Phase 3 of the project be reviewed as well. It
7 was clarified that Phases 2 and 3 have not been voted on and are in very early planning stages.
8 Concerns about the planned Maine Seacoast Mission building were brought up, and the concern
9 that the building would remove public parking. Selectman Dudman clarified that the proposed
10 building does not remove public parking. Manager Lunt noted that the building plans include
11 parking for the majority of those using the building on the building site.

12
13 Resident Sam McGee asked how much time can be spent on reconsideration before winter
14 weather forces the project to be postponed into 2019. It was reiterated that the original
15 timeline was scheduled to start October 15, 2018 and last till May 24, 2019. The entire project's
16 work was to be done at this time, with the exception of the final surface pavement and
17 landscaping. May 24, 2019, the street would be cleaned up with a binder pavement on the road,
18 and contractors gone from the area. Construction would resume October 15, 2019 and end
19 December 6, 2019. The only work to be done at that point would be the final paving and the
20 landscaping.

21
22 Ms. Carter asked about the letter sent to the Town of Mount Desert from the attorney
23 representing the petitioners. A response from the Town was required within 10 days. Manager
24 Lunt stated that the Town's attorney is discussing the letter with the petitioners' attorney.

25
26 Mr. McGee asked how a lengthy delay of the project would affect the financing. Mr. McGee
27 stated that while he worked at Bar Harbor Banking and Trust he had nothing to do with any
28 aspect of financing this project. Mr. McGee made note of Town Meeting comments suggesting
29 that he as an employee, or Selectman Dudman as a Bar Harbor Banking and Trust Board
30 Member had something to do with the financing. He felt such comments were unnecessary and
31 offensive and wrong. Mr. McGee noted that a project like this was bid to a variety of banks in a
32 lengthy, transparent competitive process with checks and balances.

33
34 Ms. Kappes asked whether the project would rise in cost if the project is delayed. Chairman
35 Macauley noted it was an unknown, however prices don't tend to go down.

36
37 Selectman Dudman noted it was an unknown what would happen if the project has to be
38 delayed a year. She hoped the delay would not be a full year.

39
40 Director Smith estimated that if changes to the project included changes that Emera was
41 required to review and approve, the starting date of October 15 would be hard to meet.

1 Chairman Macauley reiterated he hoped Emera could be brought to the table in a timely
2 manner.

3
4 Mr. McGee asked Mr. Joseph what, specifically, his vision was for moving forward on the
5 project, as the de facto spokesperson for the petition. Mr. Joseph noted there were two sides
6 to the project; a street infrastructure project and economic development. He felt the Town
7 required a 100-year vision. Mr. Joseph felt the summer visitors were the Town's industry, and
8 they weren't given a voice. He felt there were other options to underground electrical lines.

9
10 Mr. McGee asked Mr. Joseph whether he felt that the project must be halted for the next 12
11 months. Mr. Joseph reiterated Emera has not approved the plans for the electricity.

12
13 Director Smith reiterated that Emera worked with the electrical engineer on the project. The
14 project is in conformance with their needs and requirements. The issue is that Emera is slow
15 and feels no need to work within the Town's time constraints. Director Smith felt they would
16 sign in their own time, and they don't care if their lag caused problems for the Town.

17
18 Mr. Moore asked what the timeline was for signing the contract with RF Jordan to begin the
19 work. Director Smith would be in touch with RF Jordan regarding the changes. Director Smith
20 guessed delays could mean shifting some of the work to next fall. RF Jordan has agreed to hold
21 their prices so far. Work would have to be held off till after the October 1 Board of Selectmen's
22 meeting. Director Smith opined that the contractor would not be able to start the project
23 October 15, given the work they will need to do.

24
25 The next step is collecting the information requested. Chairman Macauley restated his hopes of
26 a working group being brought together to discuss the project. He said he hopes that the group
27 would include the Water District, Public Works, Emera, engineers, and interested residents.
28 Chairman Macauley expected Town Manager Lunt and Public Works Director Smith to begin
29 coordination of the group.

30
31 It was agreed that at this point the Town was in a holding pattern, and nothing was clear. There
32 was no definite understanding of when the project could start. A date will be contingent on
33 bringing a group together to discuss the project, and the information Director Smith brings to
34 the October 1 Board of Selectmen's meeting.

35
36 It was the goal to get a group and a plan together for discussion at the October 1 Board of
37 Selectman's meeting.

38
39 Ms. Carter asked about the Planning Board Meeting on October 10, 2018. Director Smith noted
40 the date was a placeholder, and in the event the Town is not ready to bring the issue before the
41 Planning Board, the item can be removed from the Planning Board's Agenda. Director Smith
42 noted that with the requirement of submittals to the Planning Board three weeks prior to a

meeting, and October 10 Planning Board meeting will most likely not occur. No work would take place prior to Planning Board's approval.

Resident Kathy Miller asked about the letter the Town received from an attorney and its suggestion of potential litigation. Town Manager Lunt reported that the attorney represented the citizens that developed the petition. The letter asked the Town to produce a plan within ten days of receipt. Mr. Lunt restated that the Town's attorney would discuss the matter with the petitioners' attorney.

Resident Kelly Brown clarified that a group of petitioners and business owners hired the attorney. They sought clarification and an explanation of their rights in the situation.

Treasurer Kathy Mahar noted, in answer to Mr. McGee's earlier question about the financing, that the bond approved at Town Meeting was for three bond articles, one being the Main St. project. The bond is a type where the money is used as needed. All funds must be used in 24 months. And funds not used is paid back on the principle of the bond.

IX. New Business

A. Request approval of \$17,473.12 from Historic Preservation Reserve Account #4020200-24205 and authorization to sign the proposal with General Code for the Digitalization of Town Records including Town Reports, Warrants, Meeting/Election and Selectmen's Minutes which has a current balance of \$29,486.18.

MOTION: Selectman Hart moved, with Selectman Littlefield seconding, approval of \$17,473.12 from Historic Preservation Reserve Account #4020200-24205 and authorization to sign the proposal with General Code for the Digitalization of Town Records including Town Reports, Warrants, Meeting/Election and Selectmen's Minutes which has a current balance of \$29,486.18.

Ms. Carter inquired whether other groups were approached for the work.

Town Clerk Woolfolk explained the Town's process of requesting Proposals from several entities. After having spoken with other clerks, Ms. Woolfolk felt confident General Code was the best company for the work. The project is funded every year. General Code will scan the documents, and also make the searchable. Making the scans searchable was one of the aspects of General Code that made them the best company for the work.

Motion approved 5-0.

B. Acadia National Park Advisory Committee Member Jackie Johnston discussion of letter sent to ANP Advisory Commission by George Davis re: Otter Creek

Ms. Johnston was not in attendance.

MOTION: Selectman Mooers moved, with Selectman Hart seconding, tabling Item B under New Business. Motion approved, 5-0.

1 C. *MMA Annual Election – Voting Delegate Credentials*

2 Town Manager Lunt noted he was available to attend as delegate.

3
4 MOTION: Selectman Dudman moved, with Selectman Hart seconding, appointing Town
5 Manager Durlin Lunt as Delegate for the MMA Annual Election, as presented. Motion approved
6 5-0.

7
8 D. *Authorize purchase our FY-19 road salt from Morton Salt at a price of \$51.65 per ton*
9 *delivered*

10 MOTION: Selectman Mooers moved, with Selectman Littlefield seconding, authorization of
11 purchase for the FY-19 road salt from Morton Salt at a price of \$51.65 per ton, delivered, as
12 presented. Motion approved 5-0.

13
14 E. *Approve and sign an updated Custody Agreement with The First – updated with current*
15 *Selectmen*

16 MOTION: Selectman Dudman moved, with Selectman Hart seconding, approval and signature
17 on updated Custody Agreement with The First – updated with current Selectmen, as presented.
18 Motion approved 5-0.

19
20 F. *Approve and sign Letter of Authority with Bar Harbor Trust Services – updated with current*
21 *Selectmen*

22 MOTION: Selectman Mooers moved, with Selectman Littlefield seconding, approval and
23 signature of Authority with Bar Harbor Trust Services – updated with current Selectmen, as
24 presented. Motion approved 4-0-1 (Dudman in Abstention).

25
26 G. *Congratulations to Josh Jordan and the Safety committee for increasing their Leader's Score*
27 Congratulations and thanks were extended to Josh Jordan for a job well done. Mr. Jordan
28 toured facilities throughout the Town Departments, investigated workplace accidents, and
29 worked with MMA to improve Mount Desert's safety record.

30
31 **X. Other Business**

32 A. *Such other business as may be legally conducted*

33 Town Manager Lunt inquired of the Board how they wanted the committee for the Main Street
34 Project gathered, and how to publicize the committee so interested Towns people could join.
35 Mr. Lunt felt there should be lots of publicity to ensure concerned residents are made aware. It
36 was suggested that public meetings be advertised. Selectman Dudman noted it must begin next
37 week in order to make any progress before the next Board of Selectmen's meeting. Town Clerk
38 Woolfolk felt she could get an advertisement for the first meeting in next week's paper by
39 tomorrow. The meeting notices will be posted on the Town's website both on the calendar and
40 Village Improvement Planning Committee page. Interested residents can sign up for E-Alerts to
41 be notified of meetings. It was noted by Selectman Hart that residents wishing to be added to
42 the committee would have to complete a *Resident Request for Appointment* application and be
43 approved/appointed by the Board. Selectman Dudman said the meetings are open to the Public
44 and anyone may attend and offer input.

XI. Treasurer's Warrants

A. Approve & Sign Treasurer's Warrant AP1915 in the amount of \$5,002,638.87

MOTION: Selectman Mooers moved, with Selectman Hart seconding, approval and signature of Treasurer's Warrant AP1915 in the amount of \$5,002,638.87, as presented. Motion approved 5-0.

B. Approve Signed Treasurer's Payroll, State Fees, & PR Benefit Warrants AP1913, AP1914, and PR1905 in the amounts of \$4,942.44, \$28,808.53, and \$109,098.48, respectively

MOTION: Selectman Dudman moved, with Selectman Hart seconding, approval and signature of Treasurer's Payroll, State Fees, & PR Benefit Warrants AP1913, AP1914, and PR1905 in the amounts of \$4,942.44, \$28,808.53, and \$109,098.48, respectively, as presented. Motion approved 4-0-1 (Littlefield in Abstention)

C. Acknowledge Treasurer's School Board AP/Payroll Warrants 03 and 06 in the amounts of \$25,669.64 and \$75,508.24, respectively

MOTION: Selectman Littlefield moved, with Selectman Hart seconding, acknowledgement of Treasurer's School Board AP/Payroll Warrants 03 and 06 in the amounts of \$25,669.64 and \$75,508.24, respectively, as presented. Motion approved 5-0.

XII. Adjournment

MOTION: Selectman Mooers moved, with Selectman Dudman seconding, adjournment. Motion approved 5-0.

Meeting was adjourned at 8:12PM.

Respectfully Submitted,

Wendy Littlefield