1		Town of Mount Descrit Dispusing Descri	
1 2		Town of Mount Desert Planning Board	
	Workshop Meeting Minutes		
3 4		Meeting Room, Town Hall 6:00 PM, April 24, 2019	
		0.00 PWI, April 24, 2019	
5 6	Dublic	Drocont:	
7	<u>Public Present:</u> Joseph Tracy, Matt Morehouse, Emily Anne Pepper, John Sargeant Pepper, Allen Kimmerly		
8	JOSED	in macy, Matt Morenouse, Linny Anne Pepper, John Salgeant Pepper, Anen Kinnneny	
9	Board	Members Present:	
10		nan Bill Hanley, Joanne Eaton, Tracy Loftus Keller, Meredith Randolph, and David Ashmore were	
11	preser		
12	preser		
13	Ι.	Call to Order	
14		Chairman Bill Hanley called the meeting to order. Board Members present were noted.	
15			
16	н.	Minutes	
17		March 27, 2019: Board Members at the meeting were noted.	
18		MS. EATON MOVED, WITH MR. ASHMORE SECONDING APPROVAL OF THE MARCH 27, 2019	
19		MINUTES AS PRESENTED. MOTION APPROVED 4-0.	
20			
21		April 4, 2019: Board Members at the meeting were noted.	
22		MS. EATON MOVED, WITH MS. RANDOLPH SECONDING, APPROVAL OF THE APRIL 4, 2019	
23		MINUTES AS PRESENTED. MOTION APPROVED 4-0.	
24			
25		April 18, 2019: Board members at the meeting were noted. Notes taken at the site visit have	
26		been added to the informational file.	
27			
28		MS. EATON MOVED, WITH MS. RANDOLPH SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF APRIL	
29		18, 2019 AS PRESENTED. MOTION APPROVED 4-0.	
30			
31	III.	Subdivision Application(s):	
32		Subdivision Approval Application #003-2018	
33		OWNER(S): Joseph P. Tracy	
34		AGENT: Matthew A. Morehouse	
35		LOCATION: Off Beech Hill Cross Road	
36		TAX MAP: 009 LOT: 094-003	
37		ZONING DISTRICT: Rural Woodland 2 (RW2)	
38		PURPOSE: Divide a lot previously approved in a subdivision – "5.3 Acre	
39		Subdivision" (File 30 Number 112).	
40			
41		Ms. Eaton confirmed adequate public notice and abutters were notified.	
42			
43		Chairman Hanley noted that the Completeness Review was held at a previous meeting. He	
44		requested public comment. There was no public comment.	

1	
2	Mr. Morehouse noted that at the last meeting there were two conditions set that approval was
3	contingent upon; to revise the plan to remove reference to the rights of the lots in question over
4	the right of way, and then to add reference to the negative easement that will now be in place.
5	Mr. Morehouse read the proposed easement wording: " Tracy Lots 2 and 3 will release any
6	interest in the fifty-foot wide right of way per a declaration of negative easement, releasing any
7	interest in the right of way along the westerly line of Lot 2 to the Haynes Wheaton property per
8	the deed conveyed for the 17.9 acres shown."
9	
10	Additionally, Mr. Morehouse noted the Applicant has been working with Planning Board
11	Attorney James Collier to draft a negative easement meeting Collier's approval. A copy of the
12	easement has been sent to Board Members. The easement will be signed, notarized, and
13	recorded in conjunction with the recording of the plan. All conditions for approval have now
14	been met.
15	
16	CEO Keene noted two iterations of the negative easement were submitted to the Planning
17	Board. Mr. Morehouse clarified that it was the Declaration of Negative Easement they would
18	use, and the plan is worded as such.
19	
20	Emily Anne Pepper, a neighbor of the property in question, asked how such a change would
21	affect their property.
22	
23	CEO Keene stated the change would make it easier to put in access to the back lot because it
24	would only be serving two lots. The access is still available, as long as driveway specifications
25	are met.
26	
27	After much discussion, it was deemed that a full review for approval had been accomplished at
28	the Completeness Review made at a previous meeting.
29	
30	Allen Kimmerly, a Mount Desert resident living near the property, felt the property had already
31	been divided and couldn't be divided again, and some of the lots created were unusable, as they
32	were wetlands. He asked for clarification.
33	
34	Chairman Hanley clarified the Applicant was proposing to split one lot.
35	
36	Mr. Kimmerly opposed splitting the lot into smaller lots.
37	
38	Mr. Morehouse showed the larger survey. He noted zoning allows for two-acre lots. The lots
39	created by the division would be 2.8 acres and 2.5 acres. Both lots exceed the minimum
40	requirement. The widths of the lots were noted.
41	
42	Mr. Kimmerly reported that half the 2.8-acre lot was wetland. CEO Keene stated the wetland
43	was not protected, so the wetland area does not affect the acceptable size of the lot. There is
44	no minimum road frontage requirement.

2 Mr. Kimmerly preferred the lots in the area to stay larger. He noted that in his residential area 3 one side of his road was one acre, and the other side of this road was two acres. CEO Keene 4 confirmed this was true. 5	1		
4 confirmed this was true. 5	2		Mr. Kimmerly preferred the lots in the area to stay larger. He noted that in his residential area
5 Ms. Randolph suggested Mr. Kimmerly attend the LUZO Committee meetings to change zoning. 7 The Planning Board can only uphold the code in place. This lot change abides by the code. 8 Chairman Hanley agreed; the Planning Board is tasked with reviewing the proposal in 9 accordance with subdivision rules, and at the last meeting, the proposed division of land was 10 found to be in compliance with zoning rules. He noted the conditional approval of the negative 11 easement, which the Applicant has supplied. 12 Mr. Kimmerly reported he had heard that a dog kennel was going in on one of the lots and he 14 would be opposed to a dog kennel. Ms. Eaton noted that potential uses of the property would 15 not necessarily have anything to do with Planning Board approval. 16 CEO Keene noted that a subdivision can include wording that no further divisions can be made. 17 CEO Keene noted that a subdivision can include wording that no further divisions can be made. 18 That would be the only instance where land can be required to remain unchanged in size. She's 19 heard no word about a dog kennel planned for the area. Such a use would have to come before the CEO. 21 Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings. 22 Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly at come to the	3		one side of his road was one acre, and the other side of this road was two acres. CEO Keene
6 Ms. Randolph suggested Mr. Kimmerly attend the LUZO Committee meetings to change zoning. 7 The Planning Board can only uphold the code in place. This lot change abides by the code. 8 Chairman Hanley agreed; the Planning Board is tasked with reviewing the proposed invision of land was 9 accordance with subdivision rules, and at the last meeting, the proposed division of land was 10 found to be in compliance with zoning rules. He noted the conditional approval of the negative 11 easement, which the Applicant has supplied. 12 Interest and the add that a dog kennel was going in on one of the lots and he 14 would be opposed to a dog kennel. Ms. Eaton noted that potential uses of the property would 15 not necessarily have anything to do with Planning Board approval. 16 Interest and that a subdivision can include wording that no further divisions can be made. 18 That would be the only instance where land can be required to remain unchanged in size. She's 19 heard no word about a dog kennel planned for the area. Such a use would have to come before 10 the CEO. 21 Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings. 23 MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION. 24 The Public Hearing was	4		confirmed this was true.
 The Planning Board can only uphold the code in place. This lot change abides by the code. Chairman Hanley agreed; the Planning Board is tasked with reviewing the proposal in accordance with subdivision rules, and at the last meeting, the proposed division of land was found to be in compliance with zoning rules. He noted the conditional approval of the negative easement, which the Applicant has supplied. Mr. Kimmerly reported he had heard that a dog kennel was going in on one of the lots and he would be opposed to a dog kennel. Ms. Eaton noted that potential uses of the property would not necessarily have anything to do with Planning Board approval. CEO Keene noted that a subdivision can include wording that no further divisions can be made. That would be the only instance where land can be required to remain unchanged in size. She's heard no word about a dog kennel planned for the area. Such a use would have to come before the CEO. Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings. MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION. MOTION APPROVED 4-0. IV. Other CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019. It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 	5		
 The Planning Board can only uphold the code in place. This lot change abides by the code. Chairman Hanley agreed; the Planning Board is tasked with reviewing the proposal in accordance with subdivision rules, and at the last meeting, the proposed division of land was found to be in compliance with zoning rules. He noted the conditional approval of the negative easement, which the Applicant has supplied. Mr. Kimmerly reported he had heard that a dog kennel was going in on one of the lots and he would be opposed to a dog kennel. Ms. Eaton noted that potential uses of the property would not necessarily have anything to do with Planning Board approval. CEO Keene noted that a subdivision can include wording that no further divisions can be made. That would be the only instance where land can be required to remain unchanged in size. She's heard no word about a dog kennel yannel for the area. Such a use would have to come before the CEO. Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings. MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION. MOTION APPROVED 4-0. IV. Other CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019. It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 	6		Ms. Randolph suggested Mr. Kimmerly attend the LUZO Committee meetings to change zoning.
 Chairman Hanley agreed; the Planning Board is tasked with reviewing the proposal in accordance with subdivision rules, and at the last meeting, the proposed division of land was found to be in compliance with zoning rules. He noted the conditional approval of the negative easement, which the Applicant has supplied. Mr. Kimmerly reported he had heard that a dog kennel was going in on one of the lots and he would be opposed to a dog kennel. Ms. Eaton noted that potential uses of the property would not necessarily have anything to do with Planning Board approval. CEO Keene noted that a subdivision can include wording that no further divisions can be made. That would be the only instance where land can be required to remain unchanged in size. She's heard no word about a dog kennel planned for the area. Such a use would have to come before the CEO. Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings. The Public Hearing was closed. MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION. MOTION APPROVED 4-0. V. Other CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt the meeting should be puschuled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019. It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 	7		
9 accordance with subdivision rules, and at the last meeting, the proposed division of land was 10 found to be in compliance with zoning rules. He noted the conditional approval of the negative 11 easement, which the Applicant has supplied. 12 13 13 Mr. Kimmerly reported he had heard that a dog kennel was going in on one of the lots and he 14 would be opposed to a dog kennel. Ms. Eaton noted that potential uses of the property would 15 not necessarily have anything to do with Planning Board approval. 16 14 17 CEO Keene noted that a subdivision can include wording that no further divisions can be made. 18 That would be the only instance where land can be required to remain unchanged in size. She's 19 heard no word about a dog kennel planned for the area. Such a use would have to come before 20 the CEO. 21 Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings. 23 The Public Hearing was closed. 24 The Public Hearing was closed. 25 MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION. 26 MS. RANDOLPH MOVED work the at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt 29 IV. Other	8		
10found to be in compliance with zoning rules. He noted the conditional approval of the negative easement, which the Applicant has supplied.11easement, which the Applicant has supplied.12			
11 easement, which the Applicant has supplied. 12 Mr. Kimmerly reported he had heard that a dog kennel was going in on one of the lots and he 14 would be opposed to a dog kennel. Ms. Eaton noted that potential uses of the property would 15 not necessarily have anything to do with Planning Board approval. 16 17 17 CEO Keene noted that a subdivision can include wording that no further divisions can be made. 18 That would be the only instance where land can be required to remain unchanged in size. She's 19 heard no word about a dog kennel planned for the area. Such a use would have to come before 20 the CEO. 21 Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings. 23 The Public Hearing was closed. 24 The Public Hearing was closed. 25 MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION. 24 Mortion APPROVED 4-0. 25 EEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt 31 the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the 32 CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt 33 the me			
 Mr. Kimmerly reported he had heard that a dog kennel was going in on one of the lots and he would be opposed to a dog kennel. Ms. Eaton noted that potential uses of the property would not necessarily have anything to do with Planning Board approval. CEO Keene noted that a subdivision can include wording that no further divisions can be made. That would be the only instance where land can be required to remain unchanged in size. She's heard no word about a dog kennel planned for the area. Such a use would have to come before the CEO. Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings. Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings. MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION. MOTION APPROVED 4-0. IV. Other CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019. It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 	11		
 would be opposed to a dog kennel. Ms. Eaton noted that potential uses of the property would not necessarily have anything to do with Planning Board approval. CEO Keene noted that a subdivision can include wording that no further divisions can be made. That would be the only instance where land can be required to remain unchanged in size. She's heard no word about a dog kennel planned for the area. Such a use would have to come before the CEO. Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings. The Public Hearing was closed. MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION. MOTION APPROVED 4-0. U. Other CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019. It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 			
 would be opposed to a dog kennel. Ms. Eaton noted that potential uses of the property would not necessarily have anything to do with Planning Board approval. CEO Keene noted that a subdivision can include wording that no further divisions can be made. That would be the only instance where land can be required to remain unchanged in size. She's heard no word about a dog kennel planned for the area. Such a use would have to come before the CEO. Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings. The Public Hearing was closed. MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION. MOTION APPROVED 4-0. CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019. It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 	13		Mr. Kimmerly reported he had heard that a dog kennel was going in on one of the lots and he
 not necessarily have anything to do with Planning Board approval. CEO Keene noted that a subdivision can include wording that no further divisions can be made. That would be the only instance where land can be required to remain unchanged in size. She's heard no word about a dog kennel planned for the area. Such a use would have to come before the CEO. Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings. The Public Hearing was closed. MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION. MOTION APPROVED 4-0. CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting. CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019. It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 	14		
16 CEO Keene noted that a subdivision can include wording that no further divisions can be made. 17 CEO Keene noted that a subdivision can include wording that no further divisions can be made. 18 That would be the only instance where land can be required to remain unchanged in size. She's 19 heard no word about a dog kennel planned for the area. Such a use would have to come before 20 the CEO. 21 Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings. 23 The Public Hearing was closed. 24 The Public Hearing was closed. 25 MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION. 26 MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION. 27 MOTION APPROVED 4-0. 28 IV. 29 IV. 30 CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting. 31 CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt 33 the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the 34 July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an 36 mail rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019.	15		
18That would be the only instance where land can be required to remain unchanged in size. She's heard no word about a dog kennel planned for the area. Such a use would have to come before the CEO.20the CEO.21Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings.23The Public Hearing was closed.25MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION.27MOTION APPROVED 4-0.28IV.29IV.30CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting.31132CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019.36It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken.			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
18That would be the only instance where land can be required to remain unchanged in size. She's heard no word about a dog kennel planned for the area. Such a use would have to come before the CEO.20the CEO.21Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings.23The Public Hearing was closed.25MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION.27MOTION APPROVED 4-0.28IV.29IV.30CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting.31132CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019.36It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken.	17		CEO Keene noted that a subdivision can include wording that no further divisions can be made.
 heard no word about a dog kennel planned for the area. Such a use would have to come before the CEO. Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings. The Public Hearing was closed. MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION. MOTION APPROVED 4-0. V. Other CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting. CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019. It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 	18		-
20the CEO.21Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings.23The Public Hearing was closed.24The Public Hearing was closed.25MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION.26MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION.27MOTION APPROVED 4-0.28CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting.31CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt33the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the34July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an35email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019.36It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney36Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to39be taken.	19		
22Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings.23The Public Hearing was closed.25MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION.26MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION.27MOTION APPROVED 4-0.28CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting.30CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting.31CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt33the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the34July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an35email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019.36It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney38Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to39be taken.	20		
22Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings.23The Public Hearing was closed.25MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION.26MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION.27MOTION APPROVED 4-0.28CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting.30CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting.31CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt33the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the34July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an35email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019.36It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney38Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to39be taken.	21		
 The Public Hearing was closed. MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION. MOTION APPROVED 4-0. IV. Other CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting. CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019. It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 			Chairman Hanley encouraged Mr. Kimmerly to come to the LUZO Advisory Committee meetings.
 MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION. MOTION APPROVED 4-0. IV. Other CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting. CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019. It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 	23		
 MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION. MOTION APPROVED 4-0. IV. Other CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting. CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019. It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 	24		The Public Hearing was closed.
 MOTION APPROVED 4-0. IV. Other CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting. CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019. It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 	25		
27MOTION APPROVED 4-0.2829IV.29IV.Other30CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting.31-32CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt33the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the34July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an35email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019.36It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney38Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to39be taken.	26		MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, TO APPROVE THE APPLICATION.
 IV. Other CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting. CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019. It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 	27		
30CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting.313232CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt33the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the34July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an35email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019.36.37It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney38Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to39be taken.40.	28		
 31 32 32 CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt 33 33 34 35 35 36 37 36 37 38 38 39 39 39 39 39 40 	29 IN	v.	Other
32CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt33the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the34July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an35email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019.36	30		CEO Keene provided some information on the upcoming meeting.
32CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt33the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the34July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an35email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019.36	31		
 July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019. It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 			CEO Keene noted that she could not be at the July 2, 2019 Quarry Meeting. Mr. Ashmore felt
 email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019. It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 	33		the meeting should be rescheduled so CEO Keene can be in attendance. It was agreed that the
 email rescheduling the meeting to July 17, 2019. It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 	34		July 17 alternate meeting date would be pursued for scheduling. CEO Keene agreed to send an
 It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 	35		
 Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 	36		
 Collier promised to submit a decision on press access, including whether photos were allowed to be taken. 	37		It was reiterated that June 4, 2019 is the next Quarry Meeting, discussing buffering. Attorney
40	38		
	39		
41 Empiling Agenda reminders was discussed	40		
+1 Linaling Agenua reminuels was uiscussed.	41		Emailing Agenda reminders was discussed.
42	42		
43 Ms. Keller asked about the Minutes being posted to the Town website. CEO Keene stated the	43		Ms. Keller asked about the Minutes being posted to the Town website. CEO Keene stated the
	44		Minutes were now on the website.

1 2 V. Adjournment

3 MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, ADJOURNING THE MEETING. MOTION APPROVED 4-0. 4 5 6

- The meeting was adjourned at 6:43PM.
- 7